بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَانِ الرَّحِيمِ

هانا آرِنت: هشتاد سالگی مارتین هایدگر تَجَدُّدِ اَمْثال: تجدید سنّت

جلسه دوازدهم دوشنبه ۴۰ – ۶۰ – ۱۹۴۲؛ ۲۹ – ۱۰ – ۱۳۹۹؛ ۱۸ – ۲۰۲۱

بیرون از خود برای او

• خدای تعالی میفر ماید:

قُلُ إِنَّمَا أَعِظُكُمْ بِواحِدَةٍ أَنْ تَقُومُوا لِلَّهِ مَثْنَى وَ فُرادى تُمَّ تَتَفَكَّرُوا مَا بِصَاحِبِكُمْ مِنْ جِنَّةٍ إِنْ هُوَ إِلاّ نَذيرٌ لَكُمْ بَيْنَ يَتَفَكَّرُوا مَا بِصَاحِبِكُمْ مِنْ جِنَّةٍ إِنْ هُوَ إِلاّ نَذيرٌ لَكُمْ بَيْنَ يَدَي عَذَابٍ شَديدٍ (٣٢:٢۶ سبأ)

(بگو جز این نیست که پند میدهم شما را به یکی، که قیام کنید برای الله دو دو، و یک یک سپس، تفکّر کنید، نیست صاحب شما را هیچ پوشیدگیای، نیست او مگر بیم دهندهای برای شما پیش از عذابی شدید!)

ای بار ناسامان من از من چرا رنجیدهای؟ وز ناوکت پرخون شدم از من چرا رنجیدهای؟

• آخرین هفت کلام عیسی- علیه السّلام- بر صلیب-، کلام چهارم:
"My God, My God, Why Hast Thou Forsaken
Me?!" (Matthew 27:46; Mark 15:34)

"خدای من، خدای من، چرا من را وا گذاشتی؟!" (متی ۲۷:۴۶ و مرقس ۱۵:۳۴)

از من، چرا رنجیدهای؟ ای جان من، جانان من

... Because the Son had taken sin upon Himself, the Father turned His back. That mystery is so great and imponderable that it is not surprising that Martin Luther is said to have gone into seclusion for a long time trying to understand it and came away as confused as when he began....

(An excerpt from The MacArthur New Testament Commentary on Matthew 27.)

(https://www.gty.org/library/bibleqnas-library/QA0231/why-did-jesus-cry-my-god-my-god-why-have-you-forsaken-me)

از من گنه چه دیدهای؟ جانم چرا رنجیدهای؟

- بر بیگناهی بُهتان چه باشد؟
- گناه عالِمي كرده بر گردنش!
 - مولانا، غزل ۹۶۴:

بَـردار پَـرده از پیـشِ دیـده کوریِ شیطان شیطان چه باشد؟

چون می رَوی، بی منْ مَروای ای جان بی تَن مَروای می جان می جان می تَن مَرو

- سوی خود اشیاء بی او مرو!
 - سوی او بی آنها مرو!
- مولانا، جلال الدّین محمّد بلخی، مثنوی، دفتر سوم، بخش ٥٦: لیک لُطْفی قَهْر در پنهان شُده یا که قَهْری در دلِ لُطْف آمده یا که قَهْری در دلِ لُطْف آمده گم کسی داند مگر رَبّانییی کِش بُود در دلْ مِحَکِّ جانییی

چون میروی، بیمن مروای جان بیتن مروای جان جان بیتن مرو

- (Spirit/Body; Mind/Body) جان/تن
- جهانی/ملّی (Globalism/Nationalism)
- جهان وطنی/ملّی گرایی (Internationalism/Nationalism)
 - شهری/روستایی (Gesellschaft/Gemeinschaft)
 - فاخر/مبتذل (Elegant/Vulgar)
 - من/او؛ خود/دیگری (Self/Other)
 - بحران دوگانه (The Crisis of Dualities)

چون می رَوی، بی منْ مَروای ای جانِ جان، بی تَن مَرو

• سعدی:

برخیز تایک سو نهیم این دلق ازرق فام را بر باد قلاشی دهیم این شرک تقوا نام را هر ساعت از نو قبلهای با بت پرستی می رود توحید بر ما عرضه کن تا بشکنیم اصنام را • فیلم:

شورشیان در سنا!

بِأَيِ ذَنْبٍ قُتِلَتْ (به كدامين كناه كشته شد؟!)

عیب رندان مکن ای زاهد پاکیزه سرشت که گناه دگران بر تو نخواهند نوشت

• موعظهٔ سر كوه

وقتی عیسی جمعیت زیادی را دید، به بالای کوهی رفت و در آنجا نشست و شاگردانش به نزد او آمدند، و او دهان خود را باز کرده به آنها چنین تعلیم داد:

... فكر نكنيد كه من آمده ام تا تورات و نوشته هاى انبيا را منسوخ و باطل نمايم. نيامده ام تا منسوخ كنم، بلكه تا آن ها را تمام كنم. به يقين بدانيد كه تا آسمان و زمين بر جاى هستند، هيچ حرف و نقطه اى از تورات از بين نخواهد رفت تا همهٔ آن تمام شود.

من اگر نیکم و گر بد تو برو خود را باش هر کسی آن دِرَوَد عاقبت کار که کِشت

پس، هرگاه کسی حتی کوچکترین احکام شریعت را بشکند و به دیگران چنین تعلیم دهد، در پادشاهی آسمانی پست ترین آدم شمرده خواهد شد. حال آنکه هر کس شریعت را اجرا کند و به دیگران نیز چنین تعلیم دهد، در پادشاهی آسمانی بزرگ خوانده خواهد شد. بدانید که تا عدالت شما از عدالت معلمان شریعت و پیروان فرقهٔ فریسی بیشتر نباشد، به داخل پادشاهی آسمانی نخواهید شد. ...

شنیده اید که در قدیم به مردم گفته شد: "قتل نکن و هر کس مرتکب قتل شود ملامت خواهد شد." اما من به شما می گویم: هر کس نسبت به برادر خود عصبانی شود، ملامت خواهد شد...

همه کس طالب یارند چه هشیار و چه مست همه جا خانه عشق است چه مسجد چه کِنشت

... شنیدهاید که گفته شده است: "زنا نکن!" اما من به شما می گویم: هرگاه مردی از روی شهوت به زنی نظر کند، در دل خود با او زنا کرده است. پس اگر چشم راست تو باعث گمراهی تو می شود، آن را بکش و دور انداز، زیرا بهتر است که عضوی از بدن خود را از دست بدهی تا اینکه با تمام بدن به جهنم افکنده شوی. اگر دست راستت تو را گمراه می سازد، آن را ببر و دور انداز، زیرا بهتر است که عضوی از بدن خود را از دست بدهی تا اینکه با تمام بدن به جهنم بیفتی.

ناامیدم مکن از سابقه لطف ازل تو پس پرده چه دانی که که خوب است و که زشت

همچنین گفته شده است: "هر مردی که زن خود را طلاق دهد، باید طلاقنامه ای به او بدهد. " اما من به شما می گویم: هر کسی که زن خود را جز به علّت زنا طلاق دهد، او را به زناکاری می کشاند و هرکس با چنین زنی از دواج نماید، زنا می کند.

نه من از پرده تقوا به درافتادم و بس پدرم نیز بهشتِ ابد از دست بِهِشت

... همچنین شنیده اید که در قدیم به مردم گفته شد: "قسم دروغ نخور و به هر سوگندی که به نام خداوند یاد کرده ای عمل نما." اما من می گویم: به هیچ وجه قسم یاد نکن، نه به آسمان زیرا که عرش خدا است، نه به زمین زیرا که پای انداز اوست، نه به اورشلیم زیرا که شهر آن پادشاه بزرگ است و نه به سر خود، زیرا قادر نیستی مویی از آن را سیاه یا سفید کنی. پس سخن شما فقط بلی یا نه باشد. زیاده بر این از شیطان است.

اگر خواهی که روزافزون بود کار رها کن داد و رسمی دیگر انداز

- Gospel of Matthew: Sermon on the Mount: Chapter 5
- 17 Think not that I am come to destroy the law, or the prophets: I am not come to destroy, but to fulfil.
- 18 For verily I say unto you, Till heaven and earth pass, one jot or one tittle shall in no wise pass from the law, till all be fulfilled.

اگر خواهی که روزافزون بود کار رها کن داد و رسمی دیگر انداز

19 Whosoever therefore shall break one of these least commandments, and shall teach men so, he shall be called the least in the kingdom of heaven: but whosoever shall do and teach them, the same shall be called great in the kingdom of heaven.

بالا مَنَم، پَستى مَنَم، چون چَرخْ دَوّار آمدم

- خدای تعالی خطاب به حضرت محمد صلی الله علیه و آله و سلّم:
 - وَ اخْفِضْ جَناحَكَ لِمَنِ اتَّبَعَكَ مِنَ الْمُؤْمِنينَ (٢٢١٥) ٢ الشعراء)
- و فرود آور بالهایت را برای کسانی که پیروی مینمایند تو را از مومنین!)
- مولانا، جلال الدّين محمّد بلخي، مثنوي، دفتر چهارم، بخش ١٣٩
 - احمد ار بگشاید آن پر جلیل
 - تا ابد بیهوش ماند جبرئیل

وَ هُوَ الَّذي فِي السَّماءِ إِلهٌ وَ فِي الْأَرْضِ إِلهٌ

• بحران دوگانهها:

دیگری (سگها و گربهها) را در نظر داشتن، و خود را، در این میانه، باختن.

برای دیگری مردن، و برایت تره هم خورد نکردن! جهانی فکر کردن و محلّی عمل کردن؟

Think globally, act locally!

- چگونه؟
- مهربانی هر دو سربی!

7 · Seeing and Knowing

'Hippocrates applied himself only to observation and despised all systems. It is only by following in his footsteps that medicine can be perfected' [1]. But the privileges that the clinic had recently recognized in observation were much more numerous than the prestige accorded it by tradition and of a quite different nature. They were at the same time the privileges of a pure gaze, prior to

all intervention and faithful to the immediate, which it took up without modifying it, and those of a gaze equipped with a whole logical armature, which exorcised from the outset the naïvety of an unprepared empiricism. We must now describe the concrete exercise of such a perception.

The observing gaze refrains from intervening: it is silent and gestureless. Observation leaves things as they are; there is nothing hidden to it in what is given. The correlative of observation is never the invisible, but always the immediately visible, once one has removed the obstacles erected to reason by theories and to the senses by the imagination. In the clinician's catalogue, the purity of the gaze is bound up with a certain silence that enables him to listen. The prolix discourses of systems must be interrupted: 'All theory is always silent or vanishes at the patient's bedside' [2]; and the suggestions of the imagination—which anticipate what one perceives, find illusory relations, and give voice to what is inaccessible to the senses—must also be reduced: 'How rare is the accomplished observer who knows how to await, in the silence of the imagination, in the calm of the mind, and before forming his

108

THE BIRTH OF THE CLINIC

judgement, the relation of a sense actually being exercised!' [3] The gaze will be fulfilled in its own truth and will have access to the truth of things if it rests on them in silence, if everything keeps silent around what it sees. The clinical gaze has the paradoxical ability to hear a language as soon as it perceives a spectacle. In the clinic, what is manifested is originally what is spoken. The opposition between clinic and experiment overlays exactly the difference between the language we hear, and consequently recognize, and the question we pose or, rather, impose: 'The observer... reads nature, he who experiments questions' [4], To this extent, observation and experiment are opposed but not mutually exclusive: it is natural that observation should lead to experiment, provided that experiment should question only in the vocabulary and within the language proposed to it by the things observed; its questions can be well founded only if they are answers to an answer itself without question, an absolute answer that implies no prior language, because, strictly speaking, it is the first word. It is this privilege of possessing an unsupersedable (indépassable) origin that the Double expresses in terms of causality: 'observation must not be confused with experience; the latter is the result or effect, the former the means or cause; observation leads naturally to experience' [5]. The

observing gaze manifests its virtues only in a double silence: the relative silence of theories, imaginings, and whatever serves as an obstacle to the sensible immediate; and the absolute silence of all language that is anterior to that of the visible. Above the density of this double silence things seen can be heard at last, and heard solely by virtue of the fact that they are seen.

This gaze, then, which refrains from all possible intervention, and from all experimental decision, and which does not modify, shows that its reserve is bound up with the strength of its armature. To be what it must be, it is not enough for it to exercise prudence or scepticism; the immediate on which it opens states the truth only if it is at the same time its origin, that is, its starting point, its principle and law of composition; and the gaze must restore as truth what was produced in accordance with a genesis: in other words, it must reproduce in its own operations what has been given in the very movement of composition. It is precisely in this sense that it is 'analytic'. Observation is logic at the level of perceptual contents; and the art of observing seems to be

a logic for those meanings which, more particularly, teach their operations and usages. In a word, it is the art of being in relation

SEEING AND KNOWING

with relevant circumstances, of receiving impressions from objects as they are offered to us, and of deriving inductions from them that are their correct consequences. Logic is...the basis of the art of observing, but this art might be regarded as one of the parts of Logic whose object is more dependent on meanings [6].

One can, therefore, as an initial approximation, define this clinical gaze as a perceptual act sustained by a logic of operations; it is analytic because it restores the genesis of composition; but it is pure of all intervention insofar as this genesis is only the syntax of the language spoken by things themselves in an original silence. The gaze of observation and the things it perceives communicate through the same Logos, which, in the latter, is a genesis of totalities and, in the former, a logic of operations.

Clinical observation involves two necessarily united domains: the hospital domain and the teaching domain.

The hospital domain is that in which the pathological fact appears in its singularity as an event and in the series surrounding it. Not

109

long ago the family still formed the natural locus in which truth resided unaltered. Now its double power of illusion has been discovered: there is a risk that disease may be masked by treatment, by a regime, by various actions tending to disturb it; and it is caught up in the singularity of physical conditions that make it incomparable with others. As soon as medical knowledge is defined in terms of frequency, one no longer needs a natural environment; what one now needs is a neutral domain, one that is homogeneous in all its parts and in which comparison is possible and open to any form of pathological event, with no principle of selection or exclusion. In such a domain everything must be possible, and possible in the same way.

What a source of instruction is provided by two infirmaries of 100 to 150 patients each!... What a varied spectacle of fevers or phlegmasias, malign or benign, sometimes highly developed in strong constitutions, sometimes in a slight, almost latent, condition, together with all the forms and modifications that age, mode of life, seasons, and more or less energetic moral affections can offer! [7]

The old objection that the hospital causes modifications that are both pathological disorders and disorderings of pathological forms is neither dismissed nor ignored but rigourously annulled, since the

170

THE BIRTH OF THE CLINIC

no gap between them in which one might be able to make out some remaining part of the real tissue of the liver; they were fawn or reddish-yellow in colour, verging in parts on the greenish; their fairly moist, opaque tissue was slack, rather than soft, to the touch, and when one squeezed the grains between one's fingers only a small part was crushed, the rest feeling like a piece of soft leather [54].

The figure of the visible invisible organizes anatomo-pathological perception. But, as one sees, in accordance with a reversible structure. It is a question of the *visible* that the living individuality, the intersection of symptoms, the organic depth, in fact, and for a time, render invisible, before the sovereign resumption of the anatomical gaze. But it is as much a question of this *invisible* of the individual modulations, whose extrication seemed impossible even to a clinician like Cabanis [55], and which the effort of an incisive, patient, eroding language offers at last to common light what is *visible* for all. Language and death have operated at every level of this experience, and in accordance with its whole density, only to offer at last to scientific perception what, for it, had remained for so long the visible invisible—the forbidden, imminent secret: the

knowledge of the individual.

The individual is not the initial, most acute form in which life is presented. It was given at last to knowledge only at the end of a long movement of spatialization whose decisive instruments were a certain use of language and a difficult conceptualization of death. Bergson is strictly in error when he seeks in time and against space, in a silent grasp of the internal, in a mad ride towards immortality, the conditions with which it is possible to conceive of the living individuality. Bichat, a century earlier, gave a more severe lesson. The old Aristotelian law, which prohibited the application of scientific discourse to the individual, was lifted when, in language, death found the locus of its concept: space then opened up to the gaze the differentiated form of the individual.

According to the order of historical correspondences, this introduction of death into knowledge goes very far: the late eighteenth century rediscovered a theme that had lain in obscurity since the Renaissance. To see death in life, immobility in its change, skeletal, fixed space beneath its smile, and, at the end of its time, the beginning of a reversed time swarming with innumerable lives, is the structure of a Baroque experience whose re-appearance was attested

- نگاه تصاحبگر، تجاوزگر، نه تماشاگر و یا هوسگر
- تخریبگر، تحقیرگر، سر کوبگر، نه تحسینگر و یا شگفتزده
 - تنبیهگر، آزارگر، تجسسگر،
 - چشم بد، چشم شوم، چشم نحس،

• The panopticon:



• The panopticon:

The panopticon is a disciplinary concept brought to life in the form of a central observation tower placed within a circle of prison cells. From the tower, a guard can see every cell and inmate but the inmates can't see into the tower. Prisoners will never know whether or not they are being watched.

The panopticon is a type of institutional building and a system of control designed by the English philosopher and social theorist Jeremy Bentham in the 18th century. The concept of the design is to allow all prisoners of an institution to be observed by a single security guard, without the inmates being able to tell whether they are being watched.





